The Mirror Anterolateral Ligament: A Simple Technique to Reconstruct the Deep Medial Collateral Ligament Using the Gracilis Associated With a Four-Strand Semitendinosus for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction
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Abstract: We present a surgical technique to reconstruct the deep portion of the medial collateral ligament (MCL) when associated with an injury of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL). Patients could benefit from this procedure in cases of ACL reconstruction and persistent laxity at 20° of flexion of the MCL without any laxity in extension. This surgery uses the gracilis to reconstruct the deep portion of the MCL in the same manner described for the anterolateral ligament on the other side of the knee. The procedure is performed percutaneously, graft and tunnels are independent from the ACL, a screw is used on the femoral side, and a cortical device is used on the tibial side.

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) ruptures often are associated with medial collateral ligament (MCL) injuries, as injuries of the MCL complex on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are observed in 67% of patients with ACL rupture. Despite excellent spontaneous healing of the MCL, controversy exists regarding the optimal treatment of concomitant MCL-ACL ruptures. Greater re-rupture rate after ACL reconstruction without addressing a potential MCL lesion has been described, and many papers recommend a more specific analysis and treatment for medial knee injuries. Debate exists about the exact role of each medial and posteromedial structure. Some recent techniques have aimed to reconstruct the superficial part of the MCL.

However, specific injury of the deep portion of the medial collateral ligament (dMCL) is associated with persistent pain and disability and greater risk of ramp lesion in acute settings when associated with ACL tear. Furthermore, the dMCL plays a major role in restraining the anteromedial rotatory instability (AMRI) by limiting external rotation in full extension. Those observations advocate for a specific reconstruction procedure of the dMCL with ACL rupture and pathologic laxity.

We describe a technique inspired by a previously described technique for combined reconstruction of ACL and the anterolateral ligament (ALL) (Fig 1), mimicking, but in a mirror manner, the ALL reconstruction on the contralateral side of the knee. This simple technique allows one to use the same devices and instruments and to only change the knee side for the peripheral procedure (Video 1). This technique doesn’t apply to patients who require posteromedial reconstruction. The main advantages and disadvantages of this technique can be found in Table 1.

Surgical Technique (With Video Illustration)

The patient is operated under spinal or general anesthesia combined with locoregional anesthesia to control postoperative pain. He or she is placed in supine position, and an air tourniquet is applied to the limb with a pressure of 300 mm Hg. The 2 tendons (semitendinosus and gracilis) are harvested using a tendon harvester (ConMed Linvatec, Largo, FL).
Preparation of the Grafts

The ACL graft is prepared using the semitendinosus in 4 strands. Two cortical suspensory adjustable devices are used, with a standard Pullup (SBM, Lourdes, France) adjustable device on the femoral side and a Pullup XL (SBM, Lourdes, France) adjustable device on the tibial side.

For the MCL graft, the gracilis is folded on a Tight-Rope (Arthrex, Naples, FL). The 2 free strands are then sutured with a FiberLoop 2 (Arthrex), allowing one to apply traction during the procedure with resistant braids. The length of the graft has to be measured and checked for each patient, as the length of the MCL is variable (Fig 2). The diameter is usually between 5 and 6 mm. Both grafts are placed in a gauze sponge with vancomycin.

Preparation of the ACL Tunnels

The arthroscopic procedure is performed as an isolated ACL reconstruction with a classic anterolateral portal for the arthroscope and an anteromedial portal for the instruments. The apex of the deep cartilage and the capsular line reference are identified to place the entry point for the femoral tunnel at the I.D.E.A.L. (refers to placing a femoral tunnel in a position that reproduces the Isometry of the native ACL, that covers the fibers of the Direct insertion histologically, that is Eccentrically located in the anterior (high) and proximal (deep) region of the footprint, that is Anatomical (within the footprint), and that replicates the Low tension-flexion pattern of the native ACL throughout the range of flexion and extension) position. The femoral socket is prepared. The tibial tunnel is then performed with a joint aperture at the center of the native footprint.

MCL Femoral Preparation

Identification of the landmarks is performed (Fig 3). According to the literature, the femoral insertion is 6 mm distal and 5 mm posterior to the medial epicondyle. The femoral tunnel is performed with a 4.5-mm drill or a k-wire targeting anteriorly and proximally and then drilled at the measured diameter of the MCL graft. Visualization of the femoral ACL tunnel with “tunneloscopy” is recommended to avoid tunnel convergence (Fig 4). The MCL graft is pulled in the femoral tunnel and is fixed using a bioabsorbable screw with a diameter superior of 1 mm relative to the graft as the bone of the medial femoral condyle is less resistant than the lateral condyle. Usually, a 6 × 230-mm bioabsorbable screw (Arthrex) is used (Fig 5).

Table 1. Advantages and Disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast and simple procedure</td>
<td>Technique for deep portion of the medial collateral ligament reconstruction only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No supplementary instrumentation or implants required</td>
<td>Concerns laxity at 20° of flexion without laxity in extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using the gracilis allows the surgeon to perform a 4-strand semitendinosus graft for the anterior cruciate ligament</td>
<td>Percutaneous technique</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1. Illustration of the initial technique for combined anterior cruciate ligament and anterolateral ligament reconstruction.
Fig 2. Deep portion of the medial collateral ligament graft preparation using the gracilis folded on a suspensory device (for the tibial fixation) and a traction suture on the other side (for the femoral socket).

Fig 3. Landmarks for the deep portion of the medial collateral ligament (dMCL) graft on the medial side of the knee showing the femoral and tibial insertions of the deep MCL (black lines) and the medial epicondyle (black arrow).

MCL Tibial Preparation

On the tibial side, the dMCL spreads to an area located 8 mm distal to the joint line and between 17 and 39 mm (33%-76%) anteroposteriorly.9 We recommend to check the graft length and isometry before creating the tunnels.

The tibial aimer of the ACL reconstruction at 70° position is placed on the tibial entry point targeting the

Fig 4. Drilling the femoral tunnel of the deep portion of the medial collateral ligament on the medial side of the knee under direct visualization of the anterior cruciate ligament femoral tunnel to avoid tunnels convergence.

Fig 5. Femoral fixation of the deep portion of the medial collateral ligament graft on the medial side of the knee with a screw.
lateral tibial cortex. This also can be performed in a free-hand technique. The tibial tunnel is created with the 4.5-mm drill and then with a drill at the measured diameter without issuing the lateral tibial cortex as a cortical device is used. A cannula is concomitantly placed in the tibial ACL tunnel to ensure that both tibial tunnels are not convergent (Fig 6). We recommend placing the tibial tunnel for the MCL posteriorly to the ACL tibial tunnel.

The graft is passed under the aponeurosis and is then pulled in the tibial tunnel (Fig 7). The ACL graft is passed from the tibial side to the femoral side and cortical devices are tightened. The tibial cortical device of the MCL graft is finally tightened at 30° of flexion.

Intra-articular checking is undertaken to ensure the ACL graft is tightened and that there’s no conflict with the notch. Particular attention is recommended to avoid interposition of muscle or aponeurosis when tightening the cortical fixation of the MCL (Fig 8). Surgical pearls and pitfalls can be found in Table 2.

Postoperative Rehabilitation

The rehabilitation protocol remains the same as an isolated ACL reconstruction. We do not systematically use braces. Immediate weight-bearing and unrestricted progressive range of motion are authorized.

Discussion

We present a simple technique to reconstruct the deep MCL in patient suffering from medial insufficiency concomitant to ACL rupture with laxity at 20° of flexion without any laxity in extension. It is consistent with recent papers highlighting the role of the medial structures, especially restraining the AMRI.

This concept can be simply understood by mirroring the theory of the ALL reconstruction on the contralateral side. We extended this simplification going from theory to practice and decided to mimic a previously described ALL reconstruction on the medial side. This way simplies the intervention for the team and nurses and allows to adapt the reconstruction peroperatively if necessary.

The medial side of the knee is the most frequently injured and is historically known to positively respond to conservative treatment. However, there is an existing controversy regarding how surgeons address those lesions, especially when they are associated with ACL tears. Willinger et al. recently have shown that 67% of patients presenting with an ACL rupture had a concomitant medial injury on the MRI scan. Those MCL lesions and laxity are a recognized risk factor for ACL re-rupture in case of isolated ACL reconstruction.

The exact function of each medial and posteromedial structure has still to be clarified. A better understanding of their action on knee rotational stability will certainly modify the way we treat concomitant medial and ACL lesions in the coming years. However, the dMCL seems to play a major role in the field of medial side injuries and AMRI.

In 2010, Narvani et al. identified a population suffering from pain and disability after specific lesioning
of this structure. Its anatomy has recently been described by Athwal et al.\textsuperscript{14} and provides a specific restrain to tibial external rotation, confirmed by the work of Ball et al.\textsuperscript{6} Its impact on tibial rotational laxity also has been described in the field of knee arthroplasty.\textsuperscript{15} Furthermore, MRI studies described an association between dMCL lesion and ramp lesion.\textsuperscript{1}

There is therefore a trend in the literature supporting the need for dMCL reconstruction aiming to improve stability in case of medial and/or rotational laxity associated with ACL rupture.\textsuperscript{16}

This current theory can be compared with the role of ALL reconstruction, which had entirely changed the way surgeons analyze and addresses lateral rotational instability.

Extending this reflection and the need to reconstruct a so-called “anteromedial ligament,”\textsuperscript{6,14} we translated a previous technique for the ALL reconstruction to the medial side of the knee. Our algorithm of treatment for such lesions is based on a first critical clinical evaluation. A positive valgus test in extension and 20° of flexion leads us to consider an open repair or reconstruction of MCL and posteromedial corner. Further studies are required to better evaluate its impact on rotational stability and identify patients who could benefit from this type of reconstruction.
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